

1. Show that for a monodisperse system of polymer solution

$$\Delta\mu_1 = \mu_1 - \mu_1^o = RT[\ln(1 - \phi_2) + (1 - \frac{1}{r})\phi_2 + \chi_1 \phi_2^2] \quad (1)$$

from  $\Delta G^M = RT(n_1 \ln \phi_1 + n_2 \ln \phi_2 + n_1 \phi_2 \chi_1)$  (2)

Note:  $\left(\frac{\partial \Delta G^M}{\partial n_1}\right)_{T,P,n_2} = \mu_1 - \mu_1^o$  (3),

since  $\Delta G^M = \sum_i n_i (\mu_i - \mu_i^o)$  (4)

and  $\phi_1 = \frac{n_1}{n_1 + m_2}$  and  $\phi_2 = \frac{m_2}{n_1 + m_2}$  (5)

with  $r$  being the degree of polymerization of solute.

2. In the dilute solution limit where  $\phi_2 \ll 1$ , show that

$$\Delta\mu_1 = -RT\left[\frac{\phi_2}{r} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \chi_1\right)\phi_2^2 + \dots\right] \quad (6),$$

where  $r \equiv \bar{V}_2^o / \bar{V}_1^o$  and  $\rho = M / \bar{V}_2^o$  such that

$$\frac{\Pi}{c} = RT\left\{\frac{1}{M} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \chi_1\right)\frac{1}{\bar{V}_1^o \rho^2}\right]c \dots\right\} \quad (7)$$

where the definition of osmotic pressure is

$$\Pi \equiv -\frac{\Delta\mu_1}{\bar{V}_1^o} = -\frac{RT \ln a_1}{\bar{V}_1^o} \quad (8)$$

and  $M$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $c$  are the molar mass, density and solution mass concentration of the monodisperse polymer solute, and  $\bar{V}_1^o$  and  $\bar{V}_2^o$  are the molar volumes of solvent and solute in pure state, respectively.

**Chem 654, Spring 2003**  
**Problem Set**

#4-2

Comments:

It should also be noted that Equation (7) is frequently expressed as

$$\frac{\Pi}{c} = RT \left\{ \frac{1}{M} + A_2 c + A_3 c^2 \dots \right\} = \frac{RT}{M} \{1 + \Gamma_2 c + \Gamma_3 c^2 \dots\} \quad (9)$$

where  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are called the second virial and third virial coefficient, respectively, and  $M$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $c$  are the molecular weight, density and solution mass concentration of solute, and  $\bar{V}_1^0$  is the molar volume of solvent in pure state. Also, it can be shown theoretically and empirically that  $\Gamma_3 = (\Gamma_2/2)^2$  such that

$$\left(\frac{\Pi}{c}\right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{RT}{M}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left[1 + \frac{\Gamma_2}{2} c\right] \quad (10).$$

which is often very useful when the osmotic pressure data are available for a finite concentration range such that the quadratic term in  $c$  needs to be included in either Equation (9) or (10).

3. a) Prove that the intercept of a plot of  $\Pi/c$  vs.  $c$  yields the number average molecular weight  $M_n$  for a polydisperse system.
- b) Determine  $M_n$  and  $A_2$  of 3 samples of poly(*p*-methylstyrene) in toluene at 30°C. The following data are given for the three samples.

sample						
1	c(mg/mL)	3.44	5.78	8.98	11.29	11.85
	Π(cm of sol'n)	0.156	0.416	1.049	1.761	1.990
2	c(mg/mL)	3.49	7.13	7.82	9.15	11.36
	Π(cm of sol'n)	0.284	0.935	1.064	1.453	2.247
3	c(mg/mL)	4.18	5.61	8.43	10.75	12.42
	Π(cm of sol'n)	0.741	1.105	2.042	3.107	3.898

Note that  $\Pi$  expressed in the column height of toluene solution  $h$ , can be converted to pressure by  $\Pi = \rho gh$  where the solution density  $\rho$  can be equated to that of pure toluene,  $\rho(\text{toluene}) = 0.8563 \text{ g/mL}$  since the solutions are all dilute enough, and  $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ . Use Equation (10) instead of (9), for  $\Pi/c$  vs.  $c$  will be substantially curved for the range of concentration given so that it will not yield reliable intercepts.

#43

$$1. \quad \Delta \mu_1 = \left( \frac{\partial \Delta G_m}{\partial n_1} \right)_{T, P, n_2} = RT \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} (n_1 \ln \phi_1 + n_2 \ln \phi_2 + n_1 \phi_2 \chi_1) \right]_{T, P, n_2}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} (n_1 \ln \phi_1) = \ln \phi_1 + \frac{n_1}{\phi_1} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial n_1} = \ln \phi_1 + \frac{n_1}{\phi_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} \left( \frac{n_1}{n_1 + \chi n_2} \right)$$

$$= \ln \phi_1 + \frac{n_1}{\phi_1} \left[ \frac{(n_1 + \chi n_2) - n_1}{(n_1 + \chi n_2)^2} \right]$$

$$= \ln \phi_1 + \frac{n_1}{\phi_1} \left( \frac{\chi n_2}{n_1 + \chi n_2} \cdot \frac{1}{n_1 + \chi n_2} \right)$$

$$= \ln \phi_1 + \frac{n_1}{\phi_1} \cdot \phi_2 \cdot \frac{\phi_1}{n_1} = \ln \phi_1 + \phi_2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} (n \ln \phi_2) = \frac{n_2}{\phi_2} \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial n_1} = n_2 \cdot \left( \frac{n_1 + \chi n_2}{\chi n_2} \right) \cdot \frac{-\chi n_2}{(n_1 + \chi n_2)^2}$$

$$= -\frac{n_2}{n_1 + \chi n_2} = -\frac{\phi_2}{\chi}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} (n_1 \phi_2 \chi_1) = \chi_1 \left( \phi_2 + n_1 \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial n_1} \right) = \chi_1 \left[ \phi_2 + n_1 \frac{-\chi n_2}{(n_1 + \chi n_2)^2} \right]$$

$$= \chi_1 (\phi_2 - \phi_1 \phi_2) = \chi_1 \phi_2 (1 - \phi_1) = \chi_1 \phi_2^2$$

$$\therefore \Delta \mu_1 = RT \left[ \ln \phi_1 + \phi_2 - \frac{\phi_2}{\chi} + \chi_1 \phi_2^2 \right]$$

$$= RT \left[ \underbrace{\ln(1 - \phi_2) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi}\right) \phi_2}_{\text{comes from } \Delta S_m} + \underbrace{\chi_1 \phi_2^2}_{\text{from } \Delta H_m} \right]$$

$$\approx RT (\ln(1 - \phi_2) + \phi_2 + \chi_1 \phi_2^2)$$

↑ if  $\chi \gg 1$