# **Schematic of the COSY Experiment**



But what happens for a heteronuclear experiment?

The 90° pulses will not cover both sets of nuclei, and there would be issues with observation of both nuclei simultaneously.

These issues could (perhaps) be addressed by something like:



### **Cartoon of a Full Heteronuclear COSY**



### The Diagonals of a Heteronuclear COSY



Pg. 3

#### The Diagonals of a Heteronuclear COSY



### The Diagonals of a Heteronuclear COSY



## Heteronuclear COSY – HETCOR

**Direct observation** of <sup>13</sup>C, with indirect evolution of <sup>1</sup>H (in t<sub>1</sub>/F1), was the first widely used heteronuclear **COSY** experiment. It is compatible with older hardware (e.g., the ACs), but suffers from the relatively poor sensitivity of directly-observed <sup>13</sup>C.



## **Regions of Heteronuclear COSY**

Direct observation of <sup>1</sup>H, with indirect evolution of <sup>13</sup>C (in  $t_1/F1$ ), offers 8× the sensitivity of the HETCOR exp.,

but this inverse exp. (HSQC is only one of many variants) must, to high precision, remove <sup>1</sup>H bonded to <sup>12</sup>C (99%) during F2, requiring newer/ better hardware.

This inverse exp. is now commonplace in NMR labs.



#### **Regions of Heteronuclear COSY**



## **Schematic of the HSQC Experiment**



Impracticalities of performing the full experiment as shown on the previous pages, and it's superior sensitivity, makes HSQC the heteronuclear experiment to perform.

HSQC: <sup>1</sup>H directly observed/evolving in t<sub>2</sub>/F2 <sup>13</sup>C indirectly observed/evolving in t<sub>1</sub>/F1.



**Don't need 1st proton pulse for**  ${}^{13}$ **C evolution in t**<sub>1</sub>**.** 



**Don't need 1st proton pulse for**  ${}^{13}$ **C evolution in t**<sub>1</sub>**.** 



Don't need <sup>13</sup>C detection during t<sub>2</sub> (<sup>13</sup>C observed indirectly).



Don't need <sup>13</sup>C detection during t<sub>2</sub> (<sup>13</sup>C observed indirectly).



Can improve sensitivity by allowing  $I_xS_z \rightarrow I_y$  before detection,

and include decoupling (removing heteronuclear coupling in t<sub>2</sub>).



Can improve sensitivity by allowing  $I_x S_z \rightarrow I_y$  before detection,

and include decoupling (removing heteronuclear coupling in t<sub>2</sub>).





<sup>1</sup>H detection is  $(\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm C})^{3/2}$  more sensitive than <sup>13</sup>C detection.

We can improve the experiment by another factor of  $\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm C}$  by providing <sup>1</sup>H excitation (now utilizing polarization transfer in the other direction:  $I_{\rm v} \rightarrow I_{\rm x}S_{\rm z} \rightarrow I_{\rm z}S_{\rm x} \rightarrow S_{\rm v}$ ).



<sup>1</sup>H detection is  $(\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm C})^{3/2}$  more sensitive than <sup>13</sup>C detection.

We can improve the experiment by another factor of  $\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm C}$  by providing <sup>1</sup>H excitation (now utilizing polarization transfer in the other direction:  $I_{\rm v} \rightarrow I_{\rm x}S_{\rm z} \rightarrow I_{\rm z}S_{\rm x} \rightarrow S_{\rm v}$ ).





180° pulses remove chemical shift during the J-evolution (polarization transfer) sections of the experiment.

Other components of a usable experiment, involving novel spin gymnastics (e.g., the BIRD sequence), and/or phase cycling, and/or pulsed-field gradients, are required to remove the 99% of protons bonded to <sup>12</sup>C.

### **Schematic of the HSQC Experiment**



Theoretically (fairly close to empirical observations),

HSQC is  $4^{5/2} \sim 32 \times$  better than <sup>13</sup>C direct (but 3 for NOE, so  $\sim 10 \times$  more sensitive,  $\sim 100 \times$  better in time).

 $10^{5/2} \sim 320 \times$  better than <sup>15</sup>N direct ( $10^5 \times$  in time).