Assignment Strategies Using Modern NMR Methods: Quinine in benzene-d6

General methods for performing assignments, and verifying structures using NMR have grown to include now routine two-dimensional NMR experiments.

The following slides show a complete 1H and 13C assignment for quinine; identical methods were used to assign quinidine, which differs only in its stereochemistry from quinine.

The assignment of quinine and quinidine was once considered a difficult assignment problem; it is reduced by 2D techniques and large magnets to at most a moderately difficult problem.

1H 1d, gDQCOSY, HSQC and gHMBC data are presented. These are four of the most important modern NMR techniques used for chemical assignments, and lack only in NOE data for comprising the major techniques used by chemists for structure assignments.

20 mg of quinine in 0.5 ml benzene was used on our INOVA-600. The sample amount could be reduced to ~1 mg in 80 μ l solvent in a 3mm Shigemi tube without increasing experiment times unduly on our 600.









When working with moderately to highly complex data sets, it is imperative to tabularize the data as you work.

The data must show consistency across all data sets. So far, only the simple consistency between the aromatic assignments of the 1H 1d set and the gDQCOSY data is shown.

		#	coupling		dqcosy o	qcosy connections				
Proton	δ (ppm)	intg	type	J (Hz)	δ (ppm)	assign				
17	8.60	1	d	4.53	7.64	18(17)				
22	8.10	1	d	9.14	7.22	21(22)				
18	7.64	1	d	4.55	8.60	17(18)				
19	7.34	1	d	2.73	7.22	21(22)				
21	7.22	1	dd	9.17	8.10	22(21)				
				2.67	7.34	19				
			dd(d?)	<1 (.3)						





Pg. 7

Carbon assignments and chemical shifts can now be added to the table from the HSQC.

Aside: We need $(\gamma_H/\gamma_C)^{3/2}$ =8 times less concentration for an HSQC than for a direct 13C 1d experiment (or can perform the experiment at least 64× faster at the same concentration!). And we obtain *more* information with the HSQC because of the 1H-13C correlations.

Proton	δ (ppm)	# intg	coupling type	J (Hz)	dqcosy (δ (ppm)	connections assign		gHSQC δ _c (ppm)
17	8.60	1	d	4.53	7.64	18(17)		147.2 🗲
22	8.10	1	d	9.14	7.22	21(22)		132.2
18	7.64	1	d	4.55	8.60	17(18)		119.4
19	7.34	1	d	2.73	7.22	21(22)		102.1
21	7.22	1	dd	9.17	8.10	22(21)	Т	122.7
				2.67	7.34	19		
			dd(d?)	<1 (.3)				
		47						



Now proceed through the rest of the 1H 1d, dqcosy and hsqc data in the same way.



1H 1d spectrum INOVA-600

quinine in C_6D_6





Pg. 11

It is vital to continue to tabulate the data. Question marks can be inserted to show uncertain assignments. It is important to indicate weak correlations in the dqcosy and hsqc in the table.

		#	coupling		dqcosy o	gHSQC	
Proton	δ (ppm)	intg	type	J (Hz)	δ (ppm)	assign	δ_{c} (ppm)
17	8.60	1	d	4.53	7.64	18(17)	147.2
22	8.10	1	d	9.14	7.22	21(22)	132.2
18	7.64	1	d	4.55	8.60	17(18)	119.4
19	7.34	1	d	2.73	7.22	21(22)	102.1
21	7.22	1	dd	9.17	8.10	22(21)	122.7
				2.67	7.34	19	
			dd(d?)	<1 (.3)			
(11?)	5.70	1	S		(3.0(wk))	6a?	71.8
			s(d?)	~1)	
9a	5.35	1	ddd	16.99	4.80	10b	142.4
				10.26	4.73	10a	
				7.89	1.97	(3?)	
10b	4.80	1	dt	17.07	5.35	9a	115
				1.28	4.73	10a	
				1.28	1.97	3?	
10a	4.73	1	dt	10.30	5.35	9a	115
				0.80	4.80	10b	
				0.80	1.97	3?	

Standard practices such as counting protons via integrals must not be ignored in the "glitz" of using 2D techniques.

Nine protons have already been assigned. All protons should be observed, with the possible exception of the –OH group. 15 more are integrated, exactly the number expected *including* the –OH proton. This proton is therefore present (likely at 3.29ppm).

3.18





Closer inspection of the aliphatic region of the 1H 1d spectrum shows that most of the protons are resolved at 600MHz, but regions such as 2.4 to 2.5 ppm may be troublesome.

The multiplet at 3.0 ppm has already been tentatively assigned to the 6a proton via the coupling to 11 from the dqcosy spectrum.





One of the protons at 1.97 ppm (it has an integral of 2) is already tentatively assigned to the 3 proton, from coupling to the 9 proton in the dqcosy spectrum. The 1.25 to 1.18 ppm region also has two overlapping protons.

dqcosy should provide most of the assignments, if we keep in mind that protons closer to the 1 nitrogen will be pushed to higher frequencies. Thus, the 5, 4 and 8 protons are likely to be in this low frequency (upfield) region.













			#	coupling			dqcosy connections		gHSQC
	Proton	δ (ppm)	intg	type	J (Hz)		δ (ppm)	assign	δ _c(ppm)
The table now	11?	5.70	1	S			3.0(wk)	6a?	71.8
contains a lot				s(d?)	~1				
of data	9a	5.35	1	ddd	16.99		4.80	10b	142.4
or uata,					10.26		4.73	10a	
including					7.89	Ц	1.97	3?	
carbon	10b	4.80	1	dt	17.07		5.35	9a	115
assignments					1.28 1.28		4.73 1.97	10a 3?	
from the	10a	4.73	1	dt	10.30	Π	5.35	9a	115
HSOC on the					0.80		4.80	10b	
					0.80	Ц	1.97	3?	
next page.	24	3.64	3	S			none		56.1
				s(d?)	0.48	Ц			
Many of the	-OH?	3.28	1	S	0.70		none	440	
accignments	6a?	3.00	1	t	8.78	1	5.7(WK)	11?	60.7
assignments						N	1.97	5a?	
are tentative							1.22	? UC	
at this point;	3?	1.97	1	m	2.40	Η	2.476	2b?	40.7
they need							2.81	2a?	
more data for							5.35	9a	
more uata ior							4.80(wk)	10b	
confirmation.							4.73(wk)	10a	
						Ц	1.59(wk)	4?	
	(5a?)	1.96(hsqc)	1		~7		3.00	6a?	20.5
						Ц	1.22	5b?	
	5b?	1.22u	1	m	3.00		1.59	4?	20.5
							1.96	5a?	
							3.00	ъа	
									D (





Pg. 22









Pg. 33

Pg. 34

Pg. 36

